

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 238101

60
ORIGIN EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-10 ISO-00 TRSE-00 INT-05 COME-00

AGR-10 STR-04 LAB-04 SIL-01 AF-06 ARA-10 EA-09 NEA-10

FEA-01 CEA-01 CIAE-00 DODE-00 FRB-01 H-02 INR-07 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 AID-05 CIEP-02 SS-15 TAR-01

USIA-15 PRS-01 SP-02 OMB-01 OIC-02 /155 R

DRAFTED BY EB/OT/GCP - RBANQUE

APPROVED BY EB/OT/GCP-SAHMAD

TREASURY - JJOHNSON

INTERIOR:ANDERSON

COMMERCE:GBARE

AGR:RSTORETER

STR - HHENTGES

LABOR - JCOYLE

----- 013290

R 062306Z OCT 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION GENEVA

INFO USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

UNCLAS STATE 238101

E.O. 11652:N/A

TAGS:ETRD,GATT

SUBJECT: QUESTIONS FOR GATT WP ON EC-ACP AGREEMENT

THE MISSION SHOULD SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS TO
THE GATT SECRETARIAT:

I. NON-STABEX QUESTIONS.

1. ARE THE PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION PREPARED TO NOTIFY
TO THE CONTRACTING PARTIES TO THE GENERAL AGREEMENT THE
SPECIFIC PRODUCTS AND CURRENTLY APPLIED TRADE MEASURES
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 238101

WHICH FALL WITHIN THE SCOPE OF ARTICLE 2, PARAGRAPH 2 AI
AND PARAGRAPH 2 AIJ OF THE CONVENTION? ARE THE PARTIES
TO THE CONVENTION PREPARED TO NOTIFY TO THE CONTRACTING
PARTIES TO THE GENERAL AGREEMENT CHANGES THAT OCCUR BY

INVOCATION OF ARTICLE 2, PARAGRAPH 2B OF THE CONVENTION?

2. IS THE COMMUNITY PREPARED TO NOTIFY TO THE CONTRACTING PARTIES OF THE GENERAL AGREEMENT THE MEASURES FALLING UNDER ARTICLE 5?

3. ARE THE CONTRACTING PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION WILLING TO SUBMIT A YEARLY REPORT TO THE CONTRACTING PARTIES OF THE GENERAL AGREEMENT?

4. DO THE CONTRACTING PARTIES OF THE CONVENTION CONTEMPLATE ADHERENCE OF ADDITIONAL SIGNATORIES?

5. THE CONVENTION STATES THAT EC IMPORTS OF CERTAIN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS COVERED BY THE CAP FROM THE ACP WILL BE UNDERTAKEN ON MORE FAVORABLE TERMS THAN ARE APPLICABLE TO IMPORTS FROM OTHER COUNTRIES.

A. WHAT ARE THE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS THAT WILL BE AFFECTED BY THE CONVENTION?

B. WHAT ARE THE TERMS THAT THE EC WILL APPLY TO THESE IMPORTS?

C. WHAT WAS THE TOTAL VALUE OF IMPORTS INTO THE EC OF THESE PRODUCTS FROM THE ACP COUNTRIES FOR EACH OF THE THREE MOST RECENT YEARS FOR WHICH STATISTICS ARE AVAILABLE?

6. WHAT IS THE PROPORTION OF TOTAL TRADE TO THE EC FROM THE ACP COUNTRIES WHICH IS DUTY FREE ON AN MFN BASIS?

7. WHAT WAS THE TOTAL VALUE OF IMPORTS INTO THE EC OF PRODUCTS ORIGINATING IN THE ACP IN EACH OF THE THREE MOST RECENT YEARSFOR WHICH STATISTICS ARE AVAILABLE?

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 238101

A. WHAT VALUE AND PERCENTAGE OF THE ABOVE WERE COMPRISED OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS?

B. WHAT VALUE AND PERCENTAGE OF THE ABOVE WERE COMPRISED OF INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS?

8. WHAT WAS THE VALUE (AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL IMPORTS) IN RESPECT OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES OF DUTIABLE IMPORTS INTO THE EC OF PRODUCTS ORIGINATING IN THE ACP IN EACH OF THE THREE MOST RECENT YEARS FOR WHICH STATISTICS ARE AVAILABLE:

A. IMPORTS OF PRODUCTS ON WHICH CUSTOMS DUTIES AND

LEVIES WERE NOT IMPOSED?

B. IMPORTS OF PRODUCTS FOR WHICH CUSTOMS DUTIES CHARGES HAVING EQUIVALENT EFFECT ARE TO BE REDUCED?

C. IMPORTS OF PRODUCTS FOR WHICH TARIFF QUOTAS OR QUANTITATIVE RESTRICTIONS ARE NOT TO BE ELIMINATED?

9. WHAT VALUE AND PERCENTAGE OF (1) AGRICULTURAL AND (2) INDUSTRIAL IMPORTS INTO THE EC FROM THE ACP WILL BE SUBJECT TO PREFERENTIAL TARIFFS?

10. WHAT WAS THE TOTAL VALUE OF IMPORTS INTO THE ACP OF PRODUCTS ORIGINATING IN THE EC IN EACH OF THE THREE MOST RECENT YEARS FOR WHICH STATISTICS ARE AVAILABLE?

A) WHAT VALUE AND PERCENTAGE OF THE ABOVE WERE COMPRISED OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS?

B) WHAT VALUE AND PERCENTAGE OF THE ABOVE WERE COMPRISED OF INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS?

11. WHAT WAS THE VALUE (AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL IMPORTS) IN RESPECT OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES OF DUTIABLE IMPORTS INTO THE ACP OF PRODUCTS ORIGINATING IN THE EC IN EACH OF THE THREE MOST RECENT YEARS FOR WHICH STATISTICS ARE AVAILABLE:

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 238101

A. IMPORTS OF PRODUCTS ON WHICH CUSTOMS DUTIES AND LEVIES WERE NOT IMPOSED?

B. IMPORTS OF PRODUCTS FOR WHICH CUSTOMS DUTIES AND CHARGES HAVING EQUIVALENT EFFECT ARE TO BE REDUCED?

C. IMPORTS OF PRODUCTS FOR WHICH TARIFF QUOTAS OR QUANTITATIVE RESTRICTIONS ARE NOT TO BE ELIMINATED?

12. WHAT VALUE AND PERCENTAGE OF (1) AGRICULTURAL AND (2) INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS WILL BE FREE TO ENTER THE ACP FROM THE EC WITHOUT PAYMENT OF DUTIES OR SUBJECT TO OTHER RESTRICTIVE REGULATIONS OF COMMERCE?

13. DOES THE COMMUNITY INTEND TO APPLY THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 3:1 PERTAINING TO QUANTITATIVE RESTRICTIONS TO OTHER COUNTRIES ON A MOST-FAVORED-NATION BASIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF GATT ARTICLE XIII?

14. IS IT THE INTENT OF ARTICLE 7 THAT PREVIOUS OBLIGATIONS OF THE ACP COUNTRIES TOWARD MEMBER STATES OF THE EC SUCH AS THOSE CONTAINED IN THE YAOUNDE

CONVENTION, THE ARUSHA CONVENTION, AND THOSE PREFERENCES
SPECIFICALLY REFERRED TO IN GATT ARTICLE I ARE HEREBY
NULL AND VOID? IF SO, DO THE VARIOUS ACP COUNTRIES
INTEND TO ELIMINATE THOSE SPECIFIC PREFERENCES?
.5. IS IT THE SPECIFIC INTENTION OF THE PARTIES
THAT ARTICLE 7 OF TITLE I, CHAPTER 1 BE APPLIED TO THE
AGREEMENT OF PRODUCTS WITHIN THE PROVINCE OF THE
EUROPEAN COAL AND STEEL COMMUNITY?

16. WILL THE PARTIES TO THE AGREEMENT ALSO COMMUNICATE
THEIR RESPECTIVE CUSTOMS TARIFFS TO THE GATT AT THE
SAME TIME AS TO THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS (ARTICLE 8)?

17. WITH RESPECT TO ARTICLE 11:2, HAS THE COMMUNITY
TO DATE NOTIFIED THE ACP COUNTRIES OF THE INTENTION OF
CONCLUDING ANY PREFERENTIAL TRADE AGREEMENTS?
IF SO, WITH WHOM ARE AGREEMENTS ENVISAGED?

II. STABEX QUESTIONS.

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 238101

18. COULD THE PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION GIVE ONE
EXAMPLE OF HOW ARTICLE 17 PARAGRAPH 4 WILL OPERATE?
WOULD THE COMMUNITY STABILIZE THE EARNINGS FROM ACP
STATE EXPORTS TO THIRD MARKETS? WOULD THE PARTIES
TO THE CONVENTION CONSIDER SUCH AN ARRANGEMENT AN
EXPORT SUBSIDY IN THE SENSE OF ARTICLE XVI OF THE
GENERAL AGREEMENT?

19. IF THIRD COUNTRY TRADE INTERESTS--EITHER EXPORT
OR IMPORT--ARE AFFECTED BY THE OPERATION OF THE STABEX
MECHANISM, WILL THE PARTIES TO THE AGREEMENT UNDERTAKE
CONSULTATIONS IN THE GATT AT THE REQUEST OF ONE OR
MORE GATT CPS?

20. WHAT RELATIONSHIP EXISTS BETWEEN INTERNATIONAL
COMMODITY AGREEMENTS COVERING CERTAIN OF THE PRODUCTS
LISTED AND THE STABEX ARRANGEMENT?

21. IS IT CORRECT THAT THE CONVENTION DOES NOT INVOLVE
EXPLICIT ASSURANCES OF SUPPLY TO THE COMMUNITIES?
DOES PROVISION TO MONITOR GLOBAL EXPORTS OF EACH
COMMODITY IMPLICITLY ENTAIL ASSURANCE OF SUPPLIES?

22. DO THE PARTIES TO THE AGREEMENT VIEW THE
AVAILABILITY OF STATISTICAL INFORMATION AS A HINDRANCE
TO THE STABEX ARRANGEMENT? IF SO, HOW DO THEY PROPOSE
TO OVERCOME THE PROBLEM?

23. WHAT CRITERIA WOULD DETERMINE A "SPECIAL CASE"
AS MENTIONED IN ARTICLE 17:4?

24. WHERE THE STABEX SYSTEM APPLIES TO A PRODUCT IR-
RESPECTIVE OF DESTINATION, AS PROVIDED UNDER ARTICLE
17:4, THE OPPORTUNITY MIGHT BE CREATED FOR MARKET
PENETRATION SALES AT LOW PRICES TO THIRD COUNTRIES WITH
THE PRIOR KNOWLEDGE THAT THE EXPORT EARNINGS LEVEL WILL

BE SUPPORTED BY STABEX FUNDS, EFFECTIVELY PROVIDING A
FORM OF SUBSIDY FOR THAT PRODUCT. WHAT ACTIONS CAN
OR WILL BE TAKEN TO ELIMINATE THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 06 STATE 238101

SUBSIDIZATION OCCURRING?

25. WHAT IS THE MEANING OF THE PHRASE "..., FROM EACH
OF THE PRODUCTS CONSIDERED INDIVIDUALLY,..." IN
ARTICLE 19:2? IS IT CORRECT THAT THERE IS NO PROVISION
FOR AGGREGATING INDIVIDUAL COMMODITY EARNINGS TOTALS
TO COMPUTE STABEX PAYMENTS?

26. WHAT KIND OF TRADE POLICY MEASURES COULD BE DEEMED
TO "ADVERSELY AFFECT EXPORTS TO THE COMMUNITY IN
PARTICULAR" IN ARTICLE 19:4?

27. ARE THE CONTRACTING PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION
WILLING TO CONSULT WITH GATT CONTRACTING PARTIES WHOSE
TRADE MAY BE AFFECTED? ARE THE CONTRACTING PARTIES
TO THE CONVENTION WILLING TO INCORPORATE AGREED
MODIFICATIONS FROM THESE CONSULTATIONS? HOW WOULD
THE CONVENTION BE MODIFIED IF THAT WERE REQUIRED?

28. WHAT FORM WILL THE CERTIFICATION THAT IS
REQUIRED IN PARAGRAPH 5 OF ARTICLE 17 TAKE? WILL IT
BE BASED ON IMPORTATION DOCUMENTS OR EXPORT
CERTIFICATES?

29. UNDER WHAT CONDITIONS MIGHT THE AMOUNT OF THE
TRANSFER BE REDUCED AS REFERRED TO IN PARAGRAPH 4
OF ARTICLE 18?

30. WHAT MEASURES HAVE BEEN TAKEN IN THE STABEX
ARRANGEMENT TO PREVENT TRANSFER PAYMENTS EFFECTING
CHANGES IN OUTPUT WHICH MAY TEND TO AGGRAVATE RATHER
THAN ALLEVIATE PROBLEMS OF OVER-PRODUCTION AND
DECLINING PRICES?

31. HOW IS "SIGNIFICANT CHANGE" IN PARAGRAPH 4(B)
OF ARTICLE 19 DEFINED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE IS
PARAGRAPH 4?

32. THE TRANSFER OF RESOURCES TO THE BENEFICIARY
COUNTRIES UNDER THE STABEX ARRANGEMENT MAY ALLOW THE
BENEFICIARY COUNTRIES TO MAINTAIN THE PRODUCTION

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 07 STATE 238101

CAPABILITY OF THE COMMODITIES WHILE PRODUCERS OF
THE NON-SIGNATORY DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WOULD BE
FORCED TO SHOULDER MOST OF THE ADJUSTMENT TO
WORLD MARKET CONDITIONS. ARE THE CONTRACTING PARTIES

WILLING TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE EFFECTS OF THE
CONVENTION ON THIRD COUNTRIES?

THE MISSION TO THE EC MAY, IF IT PERCEIVES NO
OBJECTION, CONVEY THE ABOVE QUESTIONS TO APPROPRIATE
WORKING LEVEL OFFICIALS OF THE COMMISSION. KISSINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: IMPORTS, COMMODITIES, TRADE AGREEMENTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 06 OCT 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE238101
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: EB/OT/GCP - RBANQUE
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D750347-0303
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197510102/baaaacul.tel
Line Count: 288
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EB
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: SmithRJ
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 16 SEP 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <16 Sep 2003 by MorefiRH>; APPROVED <19 FEB 2004 by SmithRJ>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: N/A
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: n/a
TAGS: ETRD, GATT, EEC, ACP
To: GENEVA INFO EC BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006